

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/970,074	09/970,074 10/02/2001		William D. Jensen	V44.12-0149	9047	
164	7590	07/13/2006		EXAMINER		
KINNEY &		•	CHAMBLISS, ALONZO			
312 SOUTH		NGE BUILDING STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55415-1002				2814		
				DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006	DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/970,074	JENSEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alonzo Chambliss	2814		

zerere are rining er arr rippear arrer	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Alonzo Chambliss	2814							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.	<i>:</i>						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folio places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the linal rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)						
	nliance with 27 OFF 44 27 must be	filed within to a second	45						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMEDIMENTS.</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because						
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>		I E Delow);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	(						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	Illowable if submitted in a separate								
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:			•						
Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s) Alonzo Chambliss	mlilas						
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2814							

Art Unit: 2814

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments filed on 4/27/06 adding the language of " device trimming ", "electrically trim electrical circuitry of the integrated circuit die" and " electrical trimming of the integrated circuit die by" along with other phrases mention the response to arguments in the final rejection makes the claim a product by process claim. Patentability is not given to the process but the final product since an old or obbious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product. Furthermore, the reply filed 4/27/06 is improper because it is not limited to appeal or to amendment as specified in 37 CFR 1.113: 37 CFR § 1.113 Final rejection or action. (a) On the second or any subsequent examination or consideration by the examiner the rejection or other action may be made final, whereupon applicants, or for ex parte reexaminations filed under § 1.510, patent owner's reply is limited to appeal in the case of rejection of any claim (§ 1.191), or to amendment as specified in §1.114 or § 1.116. Petition may be taken to the Commissioner in the case of objections or requirements not involved in the rejection of any claim (§ 1.181). Reply to a final rejection or action must comply with § 1.114 or paragraph (c) of this section. For final actions in an inter partes reexamination filed under § 1.913, see § 1.953. See also MPEP 714.13: Except where an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal. or in some other way requires only a cursory review by the examiner, compliance with the requirement of a showing under 37 CFR 1.116(c) is expected in all amendments after final rejection. Failure to properly reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to the final rejection results in abandonment. A reply under 37 CFR 1.113 is limited to: (A) an amendment complying with 37 CFR 1.116; (B) a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee); or (C) a request for continued examination (RCE) filed under 37 CFR 1.114 with a submission (i.e., an amendment that meets the reply requirement of 3~ CFR 1.111) and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e). RCE practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to utility or plant patent applications filed before June 8, 1995 and design applications. Further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d), if appropriate. See MPEP § 201.06(d). Further see MPEP 1205, last paragraph: Failure to remove all grounds of rejection and otherwise place an application in condition for allowance or to file an appeal after final rejection will result in the application becoming abandoned, even if one or more claims have been allowed, except where claims suggested for interference have been copied.